

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,735	12/12/2001	Craig A. Shoemaker	0052.01	9740
25712	7590 09/16/2003			
USDA-ARS-OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER			EXAMINER	
	CTR FOR AGRICULTU IVERSITY STREET	JRAL UTILIZATION RESEARCH	SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S	
PEORIA, IL	61604		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1645	2
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
· ·		10/020,735	SHOEMAKER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Khatol S Shahnan-Shah	1645
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the pr	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal matters, p r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, e	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	•
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	•
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) 🔲 ื	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on		· ·
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		•
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been receive ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·	
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
Attachment —	(s)		
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Application/Control Number: 10/020,735

Art Unit: 1645

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 are, drawn to an attenuated strain of bacteria, classified in class 424 subclass 234.1.
- II. Claims 10-15 are, drawn to a method of providing protection against infection, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Claims of group I are drawn to a composition and those of group II are drawn to a method.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the attenuated strain of the bacteria can be used in assays such as propagation of bacterial strains and immunoassays.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1645

## Election

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicants elect group I, then there are additional election of species.

- 1a. Please choose one of Flavobacterium strains from claims 3, 4, 7 or 8.
- 6. Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims ¹ is generic. Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1645

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4 PM from Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

September 12, 2003

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER